

# LIFTING CONGRUENCES TO HALF-INTEGRAL WEIGHT

NEIL DUMMIGAN

ABSTRACT. Given a congruence of Hecke eigenvalues between newforms  $f$  and  $g$  of odd, square free level, and weight  $2\kappa - 2$ , with even  $\kappa \geq 6$ , we show that, under weak conditions, there is a congruence of Fourier coefficients between corresponding newforms of half-integral weight.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Shimura [Sh1] gave a way of associating, to a Hecke eigenform of half-integral weight, a Hecke eigenform of integral weight. This is in general many-to-one, but by imposing a condition on the half-integral weight form, Kohnen made it one-to-one. For precise definitions we refer the reader to his paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** (Kohnen, [Ko1]) *Suppose  $M$  is odd and squarefree,  $\kappa \geq 2$  an integer. For each normalised newform  $f \in S_{2\kappa-2}(\Gamma_0(M))$ , there is a unique (up to scaling)  $\tilde{f} \in S_{\kappa-(1/2)}^{+, \text{new}}(\Gamma_0(4M))$  such that for any fundamental discriminant  $(-1)^{\kappa-1}D$  with  $D > 0$ ,*

$$L(s - (\kappa - 2), \chi_{(-1)^{\kappa-1}D}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{Dn^2}(\tilde{f})n^{-s} = a_D(\tilde{f}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(f)n^{-s},$$

where  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(f)q^n$  and  $\tilde{f} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(\tilde{f})q^n$ .

The “+” means that  $a_m(\tilde{f}) = 0$  unless  $(-1)^{\kappa-1}m \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{4}$ , and  $\chi_{(-1)^{\kappa-1}D} = \left(\frac{(-1)^{\kappa-1}D}{\cdot}\right)$  is the quadratic character associated to the extension  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{(-1)^{\kappa-1}D})/\mathbb{Q}$ .

The Hecke eigenvalues  $a_p(f)$  for  $T(p)$  on  $f$  are the eigenvalues for half-integral weight Hecke operators  $T(p^2)$  on  $\tilde{f}$ , but it is evident from the above formula that the  $a_p(f)$  determine only ratios  $a_{Dn^2}(\tilde{f})/a_D(\tilde{f})$  of certain Fourier coefficients for  $\tilde{f}$ . They say nothing about ratios  $a_{D'}(\tilde{f})/a_D(\tilde{f})$  for different fundamental discriminants  $(-1)^{\kappa-1}D' \neq (-1)^{\kappa-1}D$ . The problem of what the full set of Fourier coefficients tells us is addressed by the following explicit version of a theorem of Waldspurger. (The case  $M = 1$  was an earlier result of Kohnen and Zagier.)

**Theorem 1.2.** (Kohnen, [Ko2, Corollary 1, Remark]) *With  $f, \tilde{f}$  as above, and any fundamental discriminant  $(-1)^{\kappa-1}D$  with  $D > 0$  such that  $\chi_{(-1)^{\kappa-1}D}(q) = \epsilon_q(f)$  (the Atkin-Lehner eigenvalue for  $f$ ) for all primes  $q \mid M$ ,*

$$\frac{a_D(\tilde{f})^2}{\langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{f} \rangle} = 2^{\omega(M)} \frac{(\kappa - 2)!}{\pi^{\kappa-1}} D^{\kappa-(3/2)} \frac{L(\kappa - 1, f, \chi_{(-1)^{\kappa-1}D})}{\langle f, f \rangle}.$$

Furthermore,  $a_D(\tilde{f}) = 0$  if  $\chi_{(-1)^{\kappa-1}D}(q) = -\epsilon_q(f)$  for some prime  $q \mid M$ .

---

Date: June 17th, 2021.

A problem raised by Hida is whether, given a congruence between newforms of integral weight, there is a non-trivial congruence of *Fourier coefficients* between forms of half-integral weight mapping to them via the Shimura lift. Bearing in mind the above, whereas a congruence of Hecke eigenvalues in half-integral weight is a triviality (because they are the same eigenvalues as in integral weight), a congruence of Fourier coefficients is something much stronger, and not at all obvious. Maeda [Ma] proved one instance of this, where the newforms are in  $S_8(\Gamma_0(26))$  and the modulus is a divisor of 433. Since here  $M$  is even, Theorem 1.1 does not apply. In [DK], under certain hypotheses (including an assumption on the linear independence mod  $\lambda$  of certain ternary theta series arising from quaternion algebras), he proved a fairly general result on lifting congruences from weight 2 to weight  $3/2$ . The main result of this paper uses a completely different method, but does not apply to either of these situations, since the weight has to be at least 10.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $f, g \in S_{2\kappa-2}(\Gamma_0(M))$ , with  $M$  odd and squarefree, be normalised newforms, with even  $\kappa \geq 6$  (so  $2\kappa - 2 \geq 10$ , twice an odd number), and  $\lambda \mid \ell$  a prime divisor in a number field  $K$  containing all the Hecke eigenvalues of  $f$  and  $g$ . Suppose the following.*

- (1)  $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}))$  contains  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ , where  $\rho_{f,\lambda}$  is the 2-dimensional  $\lambda$ -adic Galois representation attached to  $f$  by Deligne [De2], and  $\bar{\rho}_{f,\lambda}$  is a residual representation. (Thanks to the condition, it is irreducible and therefore well-defined up to isomorphism.)
- (2)  $\ell \nmid (2\kappa - 2)!M \prod_{\text{prime } q \mid M} (q^2 - 1)$ .
- (3) There exists a fundamental discriminant  $-D < 0$  such that  $\left(\frac{-D}{p}\right) = \epsilon_p(f)$  for all primes  $p \mid M$ , and an even character  $\chi$  of conductor  $N > 1$ , with  $M \mid N$  and  $\ell \nmid N$ , such that

$$\text{ord}_\lambda \left( \frac{L^N(3 - \kappa, \chi) L_{\text{alg}}^N(1, f, \chi) L_{\text{alg}}^N(2, f, \chi) L(\kappa - 1, f, \chi_{-D})}{[\Gamma_0^{(2)}(M) : \Gamma_0^{(2)}(N)]} \right) \leq 0.$$

(See below for the definitions of these algebraic parts, and the next section for the definition of  $\Gamma_0^{(2)}(M)$ . The superscript  $N$  on an  $L$ -function indicates that Euler factors at  $p \mid N$  are omitted.)

- (4)  $\text{ord}_\lambda(L^M(\kappa, f)/L(\kappa, f)) = 0$ .
- (5)

$$a_p(f) \equiv a_p(g) \pmod{\lambda} \text{ for all primes } p,$$

and  $g$  is the only Hecke eigenform in  $S_{2\kappa-2}(\Gamma_0(M))$ , not a multiple of  $f$ , satisfying this congruence for all  $p \nmid M$ .

Let  $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in S_{\kappa-(1/2)}^+(\Gamma_0(4M))$  be images of  $f$  and  $g$  respectively under Kohnen's correspondence (Theorem 1.1). Then  $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$  may be scaled in such a way that

- (1) the Fourier coefficients of  $\tilde{f}$  are in  $K$ , all integral at  $\lambda$ , but not all divisible by  $\lambda$ , and likewise for  $\tilde{g}$ .
- (2) There is a congruence of Fourier coefficients

$$a_n(\tilde{f}) \equiv a_n(\tilde{g}) \pmod{\lambda}$$

for all  $n \geq 1$ .

The important condition is of course the last one, the existence of the congruence between  $f$  and  $g$ . The rest, despite their number and complexity, are fairly weak, as the example in §3 will illustrate.

For integers  $1 \leq t \leq 2\kappa - 3$  the algebraic parts are defined by

$$L_{\text{alg}}(t, f) := \frac{L(t, f)}{(2\pi i)^t \omega^{(-1)^t}}, \quad L_{\text{alg}}(t, f, \chi_{-D}) := \frac{L(t, f, \chi_{-D})}{i\sqrt{D}(2\pi i)^t \omega^{(-1)^{t-1}}},$$

where  $\omega^+$  and  $\omega^-$  are canonically scaled Deligne periods as in [Du1, §5] (where I called them  $\Omega^+$  and  $\Omega^-$ ). These algebraic parts belong to  $K$ . For later, we note that, using [De1, Lemma 5.1.6, (5.1.7)], the relationship between our  $\omega^\pm$  and the periods  $\Omega$  (which depend on  $r$ ) in [Kato, Proposition 14.21] is that

$$\omega^{(-1)^r} = (2\pi i)^{1-k} \Omega.$$

Hence his

$$(2\pi i)^{r-1} \frac{L(k-r, f)}{\Omega} = (2\pi i)^{k-1} \frac{L(k-r, f)}{(2\pi i)^{k-r} \Omega} = \frac{L(k-r, f)}{(2\pi i)^{k-r} \omega^{(-1)^{k-r}}}$$

is our  $L_{\text{alg}}(k-r, f)$ . The map  $\text{per}_f$  referred to in [Kato, Proposition 14.21] is defined in his §§6.3, 4.10, 4.5.

To prove Theorem 1.3, we shall consider Siegel modular forms  $\hat{f}$  and  $\hat{g}$  (Saito-Kurokawa lifts) of genus 2 and weight  $\kappa$ , for a congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_0^{(2)}(M)$ . The Fourier coefficients of  $\hat{f}$  are intimately related to those of  $\tilde{f}$ , and it will suffice to prove a congruence of Fourier coefficients between  $\hat{f}$  and  $\hat{g}$  (with appropriate scaling). To prove the congruence, we find multiples of  $\hat{f}(Z)\hat{f}(W)$  and  $\hat{g}(Z)\hat{g}(W)$  in a formula for the restriction of a certain genus 4 Eisenstein series from  $\mathfrak{H}_4$  to  $\mathfrak{H}_2 \times \mathfrak{H}_2$ . We need the coefficient of  $\hat{f}(Z)\hat{f}(W)$  to have  $\lambda$  in the denominator. For this we use a formula of Agarwal and Brown expressing  $\langle \hat{f}, \hat{f} \rangle$  (which naturally appears in the denominator of an expression for the coefficient) as a multiple of  $\langle f, f \rangle L(\kappa, f)$ . A theorem of Hida and Ribet, that the congruence prime  $\lambda$  appears in the numerator of a ratio of periods  $\frac{\langle f, f \rangle}{i\omega^+ \omega^-}$ , then shows that the first factor  $\langle f, f \rangle$  contributes a factor of  $\lambda$ . We also need to apply elements of the Hecke algebra to kill all but the  $\hat{f}(Z)\hat{f}(W)$  and  $\hat{g}(Z)\hat{g}(W)$  terms without cancelling the  $\lambda$ . For this we use the uniqueness of  $g$  to rule out congruences of Hecke eigenvalues between  $\hat{f}$  and other Saito-Kurokawa lifts. Any congruences of Hecke eigenvalues between  $\hat{f}$  and non-lifts produce elements in a certain Selmer group, by a Ribet-style construction used in [AB1]. By a theorem of Kato, its “order at  $\lambda$ ” is bounded by that of  $L_{\text{alg}}^M(\kappa, f)$ , and any power of  $\lambda$  introduced by killing the non-lift terms is soaked up by the  $L(\kappa, f)$  factor in  $\langle \hat{f}, \hat{f} \rangle$ .

We make much use of the work of Agarwal and Brown [AB1], [AB2], but they do not prove congruences of Fourier coefficients between Hecke eigenforms. Their concern is to prove congruences of Hecke eigenvalues between Saito-Kurokawa lifts and non-lifts (and hence construct elements in Selmer groups), by limiting those between different Saito-Kurokawa lifts. By contrast, ours is to limit congruences of Hecke eigenvalues between lifts and non-lifts (using Kato’s theorem to bound Selmer groups) enough to allow the deduction of congruences of Fourier coefficients between different Saito-Kurokawa lifts. The way in which the congruence between  $f$  and  $g$  implies that between  $\hat{f}$  and  $\hat{g}$  is, as outlined above, quite subtle, starting with

the Hida-Ribet theorem about congruence primes appearing in Petersson norms, which depends on congruences being cohomological.

In [Du2] we looked at congruences between newforms of different weights, in a Hida family, and showed that sometimes they can be lifted to half-integral weight. Using Theorem 1.2, it follows that when one twisted  $L$ -value vanishes, the other has algebraic part divisible by  $\lambda$ . We made an application to the Bloch-Kato conjecture, especially in the case when the smaller weight is 2. This theme was further developed by McGraw and Ono [MO]. The theorem in this paper is not so suitable for such applications, since heuristics from random matrix theory suggest that for  $f$  of weight  $2\kappa - 2 \geq 6$ , at most finitely many of the twisted  $L$ -values will vanish [CKRS].

Questions by Tobias Berger and Narasimha Kumar during a seminar led to improvements to an earlier version of this paper.

## 2. CONGRUENCES BETWEEN SAITO-KUROKAWA LIFTS

$$\text{Let } \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z}) := \left\{ g \in M_4(\mathbb{Z}) : g^t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} g = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \text{ and}$$

$$\Gamma_0^{(2)}(M) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : C \in M M_2(\mathbb{Z}) \right\}.$$

Consider any Siegel cusp form  $F \in S_\kappa(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(M))$ , so  $F$  is holomorphic,

$$F((AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}) = \det(CZ + D)^\kappa F(Z)$$

for all  $Z \in \mathfrak{H}_2 := \{Z \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) : {}^t Z = Z, \mathrm{Im}(Z) > 0\}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0^{(2)}(M)$ , with a vanishing condition at cusps. There is a Fourier expansion

$$F(Z) = \sum_S a(F, S) e^{2\pi i \mathrm{tr}(SZ)},$$

where  $S = \begin{pmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{pmatrix}$ , with  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $\mathrm{disc}(S) := b^2 - 4ac < 0$ .

Following Agarwal and Brown [AB2, §3] we summarise how one obtains (along the lines of Manickam, Ramakrishnan and Vasudevan [MRV]) a Saito-Kurokawa lift  $\hat{f} \in S_\kappa(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(M))$  of the normalised newform  $f \in S_{2\kappa-2}(\Gamma_0(M))$ . (Note that at the bottom of [AB2, p. 646], “ $(2n - j^2)$ ” should be “ $(2n - j)^2$ ”.) First one takes an  $\tilde{f} \in S_{\kappa-(1/2)}^+(\Gamma_0(4M))$ , determined only up to scaling, using Kohnen’s correspondence (Theorem 1.1). Next one applies an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{J} : S_{\kappa-(1/2)}^+(\Gamma_0(4M)) \rightarrow J_{\kappa,1}^c(\Gamma_0(M)^J)$$

to a space of Jacobi cusp forms of weight  $\kappa$  and index 1. Then

$$\hat{f}(Z) = \hat{f} \left( \begin{pmatrix} \tau & z \\ z & \tau' \end{pmatrix} \right) := \sum_{m \geq 1} V_m(\mathcal{J}(\tilde{f})) e^{2\pi i m \tau'},$$

where  $V_m : J_{\kappa,1}^c(\Gamma_0(M)^J) \rightarrow J_{\kappa,m}^c(\Gamma_0(M)^J)$  are certain index-shifting operators.

As in [AB2, Theorem 3.2, Corollary 3.4], if the Fourier coefficients of  $\tilde{f}$  are in  $K$ , all integral at  $\lambda$ , then it is immediate from the explicit formulas defining  $\mathcal{J}$  and the  $V_m$  that the same is true of  $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{f})$  and  $\hat{f}$ . We actually need to go in the opposite direction. Choosing a scaling of  $\tilde{f}$  and choosing a scaling of  $\hat{f}$  are

equivalent. By a theorem of Shimura [Sh2],  $S_\kappa(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(M))$  has a basis comprising forms with rational Fourier coefficients. For each prime  $p \nmid M$  the Hecke operator  $T(p)$  on  $S_\kappa(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(M))$  preserves rationality of Fourier coefficients. Its eigenvalue on  $\hat{f}$  is  $a_p(f) + p^{\kappa-2} + p^{\kappa-1}$ . Taking the intersection of the kernels of  $T(p) - (a_p(f) + p^{\kappa-2} + p^{\kappa-1})$  for sufficiently many primes  $p$ , we arrive at a 1-dimensional space spanned by an  $\hat{f}$  with coefficients in  $K$ . If  $-D < 0$  is a fundamental discriminant then the formulas show that for any  $r, a \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $a \geq 1$  and  $r^2 - 4a = -D$  (one can always choose either  $r = 0$  or  $r = 1$ ), the coefficient of  $e^{2\pi i(a\tau + r' + rz)}$  in  $\hat{f}$  is  $c(D)$ , i.e.  $a\left(\hat{f}, \begin{pmatrix} a & r/2 \\ r/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = c(D)$ , where  $\tilde{f} = \sum_{n \geq 1} c(n)q^n$ . Hence  $c(D) \in K$  for all such  $D$ .

By the formula in Theorem 1.1, all the  $c(n)$  are determined by the  $c(D)$  for fundamental  $-D$ , in such a way that if we scale  $\tilde{f}$  so that the minimum of  $\text{ord}_\lambda(c(D))$  (with  $-D$  fundamental) is 0, then all the  $c(n)$  belong to  $K$ , all integral at  $\lambda$ , not all divisible by  $\lambda$ . Clearly all the Fourier coefficients  $a(\hat{f}, S)$  are integral at  $\lambda$ , not all divisible by  $\lambda$ . Furthermore, given how the  $c(n)$  can be recovered from the  $a(\hat{f}, S)$  and the  $a_m(f)$ , to prove Theorem 1.3 it now suffices to prove the following.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $f, g \in S_{2\kappa-2}(\Gamma_0(M))$ , with  $M$  odd and squarefree, be normalised newforms, with even  $\kappa \geq 6$ , and  $\lambda \mid \ell$  a prime divisor in a number field  $K$  containing all the Hecke eigenvalues of  $f$  and  $g$ . Suppose the following.*

- (1)  $\bar{\rho}_{f, \lambda}(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}))$  contains  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ .
- (2)  $\ell \nmid (2\kappa - 2)!M \prod_{\text{prime } q \mid M} (q^2 - 1)$ .
- (3) *There exists a fundamental discriminant  $-D < 0$  such that  $\left(\frac{-D}{p}\right) = \epsilon_p(f)$  for all primes  $p \mid M$ , and an even character  $\chi$  of conductor  $N > 1$ , with  $M \mid N$  and  $\ell \nmid N$ , such that*

$$\text{ord}_\lambda \left( \frac{L^N(3 - \kappa, \chi) L_{\text{alg}}^N(1, f, \chi) L_{\text{alg}}^N(2, f, \chi) L(\kappa - 1, f, \chi_{-D})}{[\Gamma_0^{(2)}(M) : \Gamma_0^{(2)}(N)]} \right) \leq 0.$$

- (4)  $\text{ord}_\lambda(L^M(\kappa, f)/L(\kappa, f)) = 0$ .
- (5)

$$a_p(f) \equiv a_p(g) \pmod{\lambda} \text{ for all primes } p,$$

and  $g$  is the only Hecke eigenform in  $S_{2\kappa-2}(\Gamma_0(M))$ , not a multiple of  $f$ , satisfying this congruence for all  $p \nmid M$ .

Let  $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \in S_\kappa(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(M))$  be Saito-Kurokawa lifts of  $f$  and  $g$  respectively. Then  $\hat{f}, \hat{g}$  may be scaled in such a way that

- (1) the Fourier coefficients of  $\hat{f}$  are in  $K$ , all integral at  $\lambda$ , but not all divisible by  $\lambda$ , and likewise for  $\hat{g}$ .
- (2) There is a congruence of Fourier coefficients

$$a(\hat{f}, S) \equiv a(\hat{g}, S) \pmod{\lambda}$$

for all  $S$ .

*Proof.* By [AB1, Lemma 6.3],

$$\mathcal{E}_M(Z, W) = \sum_{i=1}^{m+r} c_i F_i(Z) F_i^c(W),$$

for  $Z, W \in \mathfrak{H}_2$ . Here  $\mathcal{E}_M(Z, W)$ , the restriction to  $\mathfrak{H}_2 \times \mathfrak{H}_2$  of some Eisenstein series of weight  $\kappa$  on  $\mathfrak{H}_4$  (for which we need  $\kappa \geq 6$ ) has rational Fourier coefficients, integral at  $\ell$  (using  $\ell \geq 5$  and  $\ell \nmid M$ ),  $\{F_1, \dots, F_{m+r}\}$  is an orthogonal basis for  $S_\kappa(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(M))$ , all Hecke eigenforms (for all  $T(p)$  with  $p \nmid M$ ), and  $F^c(W) := \overline{F(-\overline{W})}$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq m$  (and only for those  $i$ ),  $F_i$  belongs to the Saito-Kurokawa subspace, meaning that

$$T(p)(F_i) = (a_p(h_i) + p^{\kappa-2} + p^{\kappa-1})F_i, \text{ for all primes } p \nmid M,$$

for a newform  $h_i$  of weight  $2\kappa - 2$  and level  $\Gamma_0(M')$  for some  $M' \mid M$ , cf. [AB1, Definition 5.3]. Note that  $F_i^c = F_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . We choose  $h_1 = f$  and  $h_2 = g$ .

First we have to eliminate the possibility that  $h_i = f$  or  $g$  for some  $3 \leq i \leq m$ , by checking the proof of [AB1, Theorem 5.4]. As in [1, §3.1], we may assume that the adelization of  $F_i$  generates an irreducible automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GSp}_2(\mathbb{A})$ , type IIb at primes  $p \nmid N$  and type VIb at  $p \mid N$ . (That it is necessarily non-spherical, hence VIb rather than IIb, at  $p \mid N$ , follows from [P-S, Theorem 2.4(2)].) Now that the local components of the automorphic representation are uniquely determined, it then follows from [Sc, Theorem 5.2(ii)] that  $F_i$  is a scalar multiple of  $F_1$  or  $F_2$ , which is a contradiction.

By assumption (the uniqueness in (5)), for each  $3 \leq i \leq m$  there exists a prime  $q_i \nmid M$  such that  $a_{q_i}(h_i) \not\equiv a_{q_i}(f) \pmod{\lambda}$ . (We temporarily extend  $K$  to contain all the Hecke eigenvalues for  $F_1, \dots, F_{m+r}$ .) It follows that if  $\mu_p(F_i)$  denotes the eigenvalue of  $T(p)$  acting on  $F_i$  then

$$\mu_{q_i}(F_i) \not\equiv \mu_{q_i}(F_1) \pmod{\lambda}, \text{ for } 3 \leq i \leq m.$$

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  be an algebra of Hecke operators, with coefficients in the localisation  $\mathcal{O}_{K,(\lambda)}$ , acting on  $S_\kappa(\Gamma_0^{(2)}(M))$ , cf. [AB1, §4.2, §7.3]. (Though they use more,  $T(p)$  for  $p \nmid M$  would suffice.) Let  $\mathbb{T}^X$  and  $\mathbb{T}^Y$  be the quotients through which  $\mathbb{T}$  acts on the subspaces  $X := \mathbb{C}\hat{f}$  and  $Y := \langle F_{m+1}, \dots, F_{m+r} \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ , with surjective restriction homomorphisms  $\pi_X : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^X$  and  $\pi_Y : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^Y$ , kernels  $I_X$  and  $I_Y$  respectively. Using the elementary isomorphisms

$$\frac{\mathbb{T}^X}{\pi_X(I_Y)} \simeq \frac{\mathbb{T}}{I_Y + I_X} \simeq \frac{\mathbb{T}^Y}{\pi_Y(I_X)},$$

there exists an element  $t \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $t(F_i) = 0$  for all  $m+1 \leq i \leq m+r$ , and  $t(\hat{f}) = \alpha\hat{f}$ , where  $\mathrm{ord}_\lambda(\alpha) = \mathrm{ord}_\lambda\left(\mathrm{Fitt}\left(\frac{\mathbb{T}^Y}{\pi_Y(I_X)}\right)\right)$ .

For every  $3 \leq i \leq m$ , there exists a prime  $q_i \nmid M$  such that

$$\mu_{q_i}(F_i) \not\equiv \mu_{q_i}(F_1) \pmod{\lambda}.$$

Recall that

$$\mathcal{E}_M(Z, W) = \sum_{i=1}^{m+r} c_i F_i(Z) F_i^c(W).$$

Now apply  $t \prod_{i=3}^m (T(q_i) - \mu_{q_i}(F_i))$  to both sides (in the variable  $Z$ ). This kills all the terms for  $i \geq 3$  on the right hand side. If we further take a partial Fourier coefficient of  $e^{2\pi i \mathrm{Tr}(SW)}$ , with  $\mathrm{disc}(S) = -D$  a fundamental discriminant, we get

$$\mathcal{F}(Z) = b_1 \hat{f}(Z) + b_2 \hat{g}(Z),$$

where the Fourier coefficients of  $\mathcal{F}$  are integral at  $\lambda$  and

$$b_1 = c_1 c(D) \alpha \prod_{i=3}^m (\mu_{q_i}(F_1) - \mu_{q_i}(F_i)),$$

so that if we choose  $D$  with  $\text{ord}_\lambda(c(D)) = 0$  then

$$\text{ord}_\lambda(b_1) = \text{ord}_\lambda(c_1) + \text{ord}_\lambda \left( \text{Fitt} \left( \frac{\mathbb{T}^Y}{\pi_Y(I_X)} \right) \right).$$

We aim now to show that  $\text{ord}_\lambda(b_1) < 0$ . According to [AB1, Theorem 6.2] (and with a less peculiar normalisation of the standard  $L$ -function),

$$c_1 = \mathcal{B}_{\kappa, M} \frac{L^M(3 - \kappa, \hat{f}, \text{st}, \chi)}{\pi^3 \langle \hat{f}, \hat{f} \rangle},$$

with  $\mathcal{B}_{\kappa, M} = \frac{\pm 2^{2\kappa-3}}{3[\text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0^{(2)}(N)]}$ . By [AB1, Theorem 5.8], which is also [AB2, Corollary 4.7],

$$\langle \hat{f}, \hat{f} \rangle = \mathcal{A}_{\kappa, M} \frac{c(D)^2}{D^{\kappa-3/2}} \frac{L(\kappa, f)}{\pi L(\kappa-1, f, \chi_{-D})} \langle f, f \rangle,$$

with  $\mathcal{A}_{\kappa, M} = \frac{M^\kappa \zeta^M(4) \zeta^M(1)^2 (\kappa-1) \prod_{p|M} (1+p^2)(1+p^{-1})}{2^{\omega(M)+3} [\Gamma_0(M) : \Gamma_0(4M)] [\text{Sp}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0^{(2)}(M)]}$ . Here, looking at [AB2, Theorem 4.1],  $-D < 0$  is a fundamental discriminant such that  $\left(\frac{-D}{p}\right) = \epsilon_p(f)$  for all primes  $p \mid M$  (which therefore ought to be a condition in [AB1, Theorems 5.8, 6.5]). Note that in their citation of [Ko2, Corollary 1], it is not necessary to view  $\hat{f}$  as a Shintani lift.

Using the conditions on  $\ell$ , the fact that  $[\Gamma_0(M) : \Gamma_0(4M)] = 6$ , and that  $L(s, \hat{f}, \text{st}) = \zeta(s) L(s + (\kappa - 2), f) L(s + (\kappa - 1), f)$ , we need to show that

$$\text{ord}_\lambda \left( \frac{\alpha D^{\kappa-3/2} L^N(3 - \kappa, \chi) L^N(1, f, \chi) L^N(2, f, \chi) L(\kappa - 1, f, \chi_{-D})}{c(D)^2 \pi^2 L(\kappa, f) \langle f, f \rangle [\Gamma_0^{(2)}(M) : \Gamma_0^{(2)}(N)]} \right) < 0.$$

Multiplying both the numerator and the denominator by  $(2\pi i)^{\kappa+2} \sqrt{-D} \omega^-(\omega^+)^2$ , and using the hypothesis (3) of the proposition, it is good enough to show that

$$\text{ord}_\lambda \left( \frac{\langle f, f \rangle}{i\omega^+ \omega^-} \right) + \text{ord}_\lambda \left( \frac{L_{\text{alg}}(\kappa, f)}{\alpha} \right) > 0.$$

As in [Du1, (4)], using work of Hida [Hi1, §6], the ratio  $\frac{\langle f, f \rangle}{i\omega^+ \omega^-}$  is, up to  $S$ -units (where  $S$  is the set of primes dividing  $(2\kappa - 2)!M$ ), an integral cohomological congruence ideal  $\eta_f$ . A good additional reference is [Hi2, (5.18)]. The  $\langle \zeta_+, \zeta_- \rangle$  in [Hi2, Theorem 5.16] is our  $\eta_f$ . It follows from a theorem of Ribet [Ri2, Theorems 1.3, 1.4] (which removes an ordinarity assumption from an earlier theorem of Hida) that, since  $\lambda$  is a ‘‘congruence prime’’ for  $f$  (and  $\ell \nmid k!N$ ),  $\lambda$  divides  $\eta_f$ , as required. (Although Hida and Ribet worked with rational coefficients, combining Galois orbits of newforms, this is not necessary.)

To obtain  $\text{ord}_\lambda(b_1) < 0$ , it remains to show that

$$\text{ord}_\lambda \left( \text{Fitt} \left( \frac{\mathbb{T}^Y}{\pi_Y(I_X)} \right) \right) \leq \text{ord}_\lambda(L_{\text{alg}}(\kappa, f)).$$

The left hand side measures mod  $\lambda$  congruences of Hecke eigenvalues between  $\hat{f}$  and the non-lifts  $F_{m+1}, \dots, F_{m+r}$ . Suppose that  $m+1 \leq j \leq m+r$  and that

$$\mu_q(F_j) \equiv \mu_q(F_1) \pmod{\lambda}, \quad \text{for all primes } q \nmid M.$$

By [AB1, Theorem 7.3, Theorem 7.4, Corollary 7.5], using that

$$\ell \nmid (2\kappa - 2)!M \prod_{\text{prime } q|M} (q^2 - 1),$$

$F_j$  is not a weak endoscopic lift, and since also it does not belong to the Saito-Kurokawa subspace, the 4-dimensional  $\lambda$ -adic representation  $\rho_{F_j, \lambda}$  of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$  associated with  $F_j$  by Weissauer [We] must be irreducible, cf. [AB1, beginning of §7].

The congruence of Hecke eigenvalues (viewed as traces of Frobenius elements) implies that a residual representation  $\bar{\rho}_{F_j, \lambda}$  has composition factors  $\bar{\rho}_{f, \lambda}$  and the Tate twists  $\mathbb{F}_\lambda(1 - \kappa), \mathbb{F}_\lambda(2 - \kappa)$  of the trivial representation. Using the irreducibility of  $\rho_{F_j, \lambda}$ , and adapting an argument used by Ribet [Ri1] it is possible to choose a  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -invariant lattice for  $\rho_{F_j, \lambda}$  whose reduction provides a non-split extension of  $\mathbb{F}_\lambda(2 - \kappa)$  by  $\bar{\rho}_{f, \lambda}$ , hence of  $\mathbb{F}_\lambda$  by  $\bar{\rho}_{f, \lambda}(\kappa - 2)$ . As in the proof of [AB1, Theorem 8.8], one can show that this gives a non-zero class in  $H^1(\mathbb{Q}, W_{f, \lambda}(\kappa - 2))$ , where  $\rho_{f, \lambda}$  is on a space  $V_{f, \lambda}$ , with  $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ -invariant lattice  $T_{f, \lambda}$ , and  $W_{f, \lambda} := V_{f, \lambda}/T_{f, \lambda}$ . Furthermore, this class satisfies the Bloch-Kato local conditions at all primes  $p \nmid M$ , including  $p = \ell$ . In the notation of [AB1], it gives us a non-zero element of the Selmer group  $\text{Sel}_{\{p|\ell M\}}(\{p|M\}, W_{f, \lambda}(\kappa - 2))$ .

In fact, Theorem 8.8 in [AB1] gives us something stronger, that

$$\text{ord}_\lambda \left( \text{Fitt} \left( \frac{\mathbb{T}^Y}{\pi_Y(I_X)} \right) \right) \leq \text{ord}_\lambda \left( \text{Fitt} \left( \text{Sel}_{\{p|\ell M\}}(\{p|M\}, W_{f, \lambda}(\kappa - 2)) \right) \right).$$

Letting  $k = 2\kappa - 2, r = \kappa - 2, k - r = \kappa, T = T_{f, \lambda}(\kappa - 2)$  in [Kato, Proposition 14.21(2)], (where  $\mathcal{S}(T(r))$  should be  $\mathcal{S}(T)$  on the left hand side), it would say that  $\text{ord}_\lambda(L_{\text{alg}}(\kappa, f))$  is what the Bloch-Kato conjecture [BK] predicts it should be, as long as (in his notation)  $\mu = 1$ , cf. the end of [Kato, §14.5]. (Recall that our Deligne period  $\omega^+$  is  $(2\pi i)^{1-k}\Omega$  in [Kato, Proposition 14.21].) Using the condition that  $\bar{\rho}_{f, \lambda}(\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}))$  contains  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$  (which implies the condition [Kato, (12.5.2)] by [Se, §3.4, Lemma 3]), it follows from [Kato, Theorem 14.5(3)] and its proof that  $\text{ord}_\lambda(\mu) \geq 0$ . Hence [Kato, Proposition 14.21(2)] says that  $\text{ord}_\lambda(L_{\text{alg}}(\kappa, f))$  is at least what the Bloch-Kato conjecture predicts it should be. Since the truth of the Bloch-Kato conjecture is invariant under relaxing local conditions and dropping Euler factors at a finite set of primes, this implies that

$$\text{ord}_\lambda \left( \text{Fitt} \left( \text{Sel}_{\{p|\ell M\}}(\{p|M\}, W_{f, \lambda}(\kappa - 2)) \right) \right) \leq \text{ord}_\lambda(L_{\text{alg}}^M(\kappa, f)).$$

Using hypothesis (4), this gives us the desired

$$\text{ord}_\lambda \left( \text{Fitt} \left( \frac{\mathbb{T}^Y}{\pi_Y(I_X)} \right) \right) \leq \text{ord}_\lambda(L_{\text{alg}}(\kappa, f)).$$

To complete the proof, recall the equation

$$\mathcal{F}(Z) = b_1 \hat{f}(Z) + b_2 \hat{g}(Z),$$

where  $\mathcal{F}(Z)$  has integral Fourier coefficients, and we now know that  $\text{ord}_\lambda(b_1) < 0$ . Dividing both sides of the equation by  $b_1$ , we see that there is a congruence of

Fourier coefficients of  $\hat{f}$  and the re-scaled  $(b_2/b_1)\hat{g}$ . Note that  $\hat{f}$  is scaled as in the statement of the proposition, and the congruence forces  $(b_2/b_1)\hat{g}$  to be likewise.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** More generally, if  $f$  and  $g$  are congruent modulo  $\lambda^s$  with  $s > 0$ , one may prove similarly a congruence mod  $\lambda^s$  between  $\hat{f}$  and  $\hat{g}$ .

### 3. AN EXAMPLE

The 34-dimensional space  $S_{10}(\Gamma_0(35))$  contains normalised newforms

$$f = q + 28q^2 - 116q^3 + 272q^4 + 625q^5 + \dots$$

and

$$g = q + (-12 + \sqrt{2})q^2 + (-87 + 108\sqrt{2})q^3 + (-360 - 48\sqrt{2})q^4 + 625q^5 + \dots,$$

among other newforms with coefficient fields of degrees 4, 5 and 6. According to the computer algebra package Magma [BCP], the  $q$ -expansions of  $f$  and  $g$  are congruent modulo  $\lambda = (199, \sqrt{2} - 20)$ , with  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  and  $\ell = 199$ , at least as far as the coefficients of  $q^{100}$ . We check that all the conditions of Theorem 1.3 are satisfied by this example.

- (1) We apply a theorem of Billerey and Dieulefait [BD, Introduction, Square-free level case]. Since  $199 \nmid 35$ ,  $199 > 4(10) - 3$ , and none of  $5^8, 5^{10}, 7^8$  or  $7^{10}$  is congruent to 1 modulo 199,  $\bar{\rho}_{f,199}$  is irreducible and has image of order divisible by 199. By a theorem of Dickson [Di], the image of  $\bar{\rho}_{f,199}$  in  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{199})$  contains  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{199})$ . If the image of  $\bar{\rho}_{f,199}$  (in  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{199})$ ) does not contain  $\mathrm{diag}(-1, -1)$  then every element of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{199})$  is uniquely  $\pm 1$  times something in this image, giving a well-defined character from  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{199})$  to  $\{\pm 1\}$ . Since  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{199})$  has no non-trivial abelian character (the smallest degree of an irreducible character being  $\frac{199-1}{2}$ ), it follows that the image of  $\bar{\rho}_{f,199}$  contains  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_{199})$ . (This argument was inspired by the proof of (3.1) in [Ri3].)
- (2)  $199 \nmid 10!(35)(5^2 - 1)(7^2 - 1)$ , whose prime divisors are 2, 3, 5 and 7.
- (3) We take  $N = M$ , and  $\chi$  quadratic of conductor 5. It is easy to check that  $\mathrm{ord}_{199}(L^N(-3, \chi)) = 0$ , using Bernoulli polynomials. Using the Magma command `LRatio(f, 6)`, where

$$f := \mathrm{NewformDecomposition}(\mathrm{CuspidalSubspace}(\mathrm{ModularSymbols}(35, 10)))[1],$$

we find `LRatio(6, f) = 24843/2`, which factorises as  $3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13^2/2$ , implying that  $\mathrm{ord}_{199}(L_{\mathrm{alg}}(6, f)) = 0$ . We don't really need that, but it shows that in this example there are no congruences of Hecke eigenvalues between  $\hat{f}$  and non-lifts.

Since  $(\frac{-8}{5}) = (\frac{-8}{7}) = \epsilon_5(f) = \epsilon_7(f) = -1$ , we let  $-D = -8$ , and aim to show that  $\mathrm{ord}_{199}(L_{\mathrm{alg}}(5, f, \chi_{-8})) = 0$ . Letting  $f$  be a 2-dimensional space of modular symbols created in Magma as above, and  $\phi := \mathrm{IntegralMapping}(f)$  a projection into this space, if we apply  $\phi$  to the winding element  $X^5 Y^3 \{0, \infty\}$  then we get  $(24843, 0)$ . The 24843 recovers what we obtained earlier using `LRatio(6, f)`. Applying  $\phi$  instead to a twisted winding element

$$\begin{aligned} & (8X + Y)^4 Y^4 \{-1/8, \infty\} + (8X + 3Y)^4 Y^4 \{-3/8, \infty\} \\ & - (8X - 3Y)^4 Y^4 \{3/8, \infty\} - (8X - Y)^4 Y^4 \{1/8, \infty\}, \end{aligned}$$

we get  $(-13829760, 0)$ . The 0 is a check on the correctness of the computation, and up to small prime factors, the  $-13829760 = -2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^4$  gives us  $L_{\text{alg}}(5, f, \chi_{-8})$ , by [MTT, (8.6), §3(i)].

If we plug in  $X^a Y^{8-a} \{0, \infty\}$  with  $0 \leq a \leq 8$  and  $a$  even, we always get a multiple of  $(-781, 1)$ . So the  $\pm$ -parts under the natural complex conjugation action must be spanned by  $v^+ := (1, 0)$  and  $v^- := (-781, 1)$ . Applying  $\phi$  to the twisted winding elements

$$Y^8 \{-1/5, \infty\} + Y^8 \{-4/5, \infty\} - Y^8 \{-2/5, \infty\} - Y^8 \{-3/5, \infty\}$$

and

$$(5X + Y)Y^7 \{-1/5, \infty\} + (5X + 4Y)Y^7 \{-4/5, \infty\} \\ - (5X + 2Y)Y^7 \{-2/5, \infty\} - (5X + 3Y)Y^7 \{-3/5, \infty\},$$

we obtain  $2^{11} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11v^+$  and  $2^3 \cdot 5^5 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 13 \cdot 1511v^-$ , respectively. This shows that  $\text{ord}_{199}(L_{\text{alg}}(1, f, \chi)) = \text{ord}_{199}(L_{\text{alg}}(2, f, \chi)) = 0$ . The factors by which these are multiplied to get  $L_{\text{alg}}^N(1, f, \chi)$  and  $L_{\text{alg}}^N(2, f, \chi)$  are  $1 + 7^4 7^{-1} = 2^3 \cdot 43$  and  $1 + 7^4 7^{-2} = 2 \cdot 5^2$ .

- (4) The ratio  $L_{\text{alg}}^M(6, f)/L_{\text{alg}}(6, f)$  is a product of factors  $(1 - 5^{-2}) = -\frac{2^3 \cdot 3}{5^2}$  and  $(1 - 7^{-2}) = -\frac{2^4 \cdot 3}{7^2}$ .
- (5) Since the Sturm bound [St] is  $\frac{10}{12} \cdot 35 \cdot (1 + \frac{1}{5})(1 + \frac{1}{7}) = 40$ , the congruence already observed experimentally between  $f$  and  $g$  actually holds for all coefficients. The uniqueness of  $g$  is easily verified using the command `Reductions(g, 199)` in Magma.

## REFERENCES

- [AB1] M. Agarwal, J. Brown, On the Bloch-Kato conjecture for elliptic modular forms of square-free level, *Math. Z.* **276** (2014), 889–924.
- [AB2] M. Agarwal, J. Brown, Saito-Kurokawa lifts of square-free level, *Kyoto J. Math.* **55** (2015), 641–662.
- [BD] N. Billerey, L. V. Dieulefait, Explicit large image theorems for modular forms, *J. London Math. Soc.* **89** (2014), 499–523.
- [BK] S. Bloch, K. Kato,  $L$ -functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives, *The Grothendieck Festschrift Volume I*, Progress in Mathematics, 86, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990, 333–400.
- [BCP] W. Bosma, J. J. Cannon, C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system. I. The user language, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24** (1997), 235–265.
- [CKRS] J. B. Conrey, J. P. Keating, M. O. Rubinstein, N. C. Snaith, On the frequency of vanishing of quadratic twists of modular  $L$ -functions, *Number theory for the millennium, I* (Urbana, IL, 2000), 303–315, A K Peters, Natick, MA, 2002.
- [De1] P. Deligne, Valeurs de Fonctions  $L$  et Périodes d’Intégrales, *AMS Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, Vol. 33 part 2, 1979, 313–346.
- [De2] P. Deligne, Formes modulaires et représentations  $l$ -adiques, *Sém. Bourbaki*, exp. 355, Lect. Notes Math., Vol. 179, Springer, Berlin, 1969, 139–172.
- [DFG] F. Diamond, M. Flach, L. Guo, The Tamagawa number conjecture of adjoint motives of modular forms, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **37** (2004), 663–727.
- [Di] L. E. Dickson, *Linear groups with an exposition of the Galois field theory*. Teubner, Leipzig, 1901.
- [1] M. Dickson, A. Pitale, A. Saha, R. Schmidt, Explicit refinements of Böcherer’s conjecture for Siegel modular forms of squarefree level, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **72** (2020), 251–301.
- [Du1] N. Dummigan, Symmetric square  $L$ -functions and Shafarevich-Tate groups, II, *Int. J. Number Theory* **5** (2009), 1321–1345.
- [Du2] N. Dummigan, Congruences of modular forms and Selmer groups, *Math. Res. Lett.* **8** (2001), 479–494.

- [DK] N. Dummigan, S. Krishnamoorthy, Lifting congruences to weight  $3/2$ , *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **32** (2017), 431–440.
- [Hi1] H. Hida, Congruences for cusp forms and special values of their zeta functions, *Invent. math.* **63** (1981), 225–261.
- [Hi2] H. Hida, *Modular forms and Galois cohomology*. Cambridge University Press, 2000.
- [Kato] K. Kato,  $p$ -adic Hodge theory and values of zeta functions of modular forms, *Astérisque*, tome 295 (2004), 117–290.
- [Ko1] W. Kohlen, Newforms of half-integral weight, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **333** (1982), 32–72.
- [Ko2] W. Kohlen, Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half-integral weight, *Math. Ann.* **271** (1985), 237–268.
- [Ma] Y. Maeda, A congruence between modular forms of half-integral weight, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **12** (1983), 64–73.
- [MRV] M. Manickam, B. Ramakrishnan, T. C. Vasudevan, On Saito-Kurokawa liftings for congruence subgroups, *Manuscripta Math.* **81** (1993), 161–182.
- [MTT] B. Mazur, J. Tate, J. Teitelbaum, On  $p$ -adic analogues of the conjectures of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer, *Invent. math.* **84** (1986), 1–48.
- [MO] W. J. McGraw, K. Ono, Modular form congruences and Selmer groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* **67** (2003), 302–318.
- [P-S] I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, On the Saito-Kurokawa Lifting, *Invent. math.* **71** (1983), 309–338.
- [Ri1] K. Ribet, A modular construction of unramified  $p$ -extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$ , *Invent. math.* **34** (1976), 151–162.
- [Ri2] K. Ribet, Mod  $p$  Hecke operators and congruences of modular forms, *Invent. math.* **71** (1983), 193–205.
- [Ri3] K. Ribet, On  $\ell$ -adic representations attached to modular forms, *Invent. math.* **28** (1975), 245–275.
- [Sc] R. Schmidt, On classical Saito-Kurokawa liftings, *J. reine angew. Math.* **604** (2007), 211–236.
- [Se] J.-P. Serre, *Abelian  $\ell$ -adic representations and elliptic curves*, McGill University lecture notes written with the collaboration of Willem Kuyk and John Labute, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York-Amsterdam, 1968.
- [Sh1] G. Shimura, On modular forms of half-integral weight, *Ann. of Math.* **97** (1973), 440–481.
- [Sh2] G. Shimura, On the Fourier coefficients of modular forms in several variables, *Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math. -Phys. Kl. II* (1975), 261–268.
- [St] J. Sturm, On the congruence of modular forms, *Number Theory (New York, 1984–1985)*, Lect. Notes Math., Vol. 1240, Springer, Berlin, 1984, 275–280.
- [We] R. Weissauer, Four dimensional Galois representations, *Astérisque* **302** (2005), 67–150.

UNIVERSITY OF SHEFFIELD, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HICKS BUILDING, HOUNSFIELD ROAD, SHEFFIELD, S3 7RH, U.K.  
*Email address:* n.p.dummigan@shef.ac.uk